

Non-obtainable Continuous Functionals  
by

Dag Normann, Oslo - 79

Abstract

For each  $k \geq 3$  we construct a continuous functional  $\Delta$  of type  $k+1$  with a recursive associate such that  $\Delta$  is not Kleene-computable in any continuous functional of type  $\leq k$ .

1. Introduction.

The countable or continuous functionals were first defined independently by Kleene [5] and Kreisel [6]. Kleene's countable functionals is a sub-class of the total functionals while Kreisel's continuous functionals are equivalence-classes of functions  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . In this paper we will regard the countable functionals as a type-structure  $\langle \text{Ct}(k) \rangle_{k \in \omega}$  where each  $\psi \in \text{Ct}(k+1)$  is a total map  $\psi : \text{Ct}(k) \rightarrow \omega$ . This is equivalent to Kreisel's definition and it was also used in e.g. Bergstra [1] and Gandy - Hyland [3].

We will work with a fixed  $k \geq 3$ . We let  $n, m, k, i, j$  etc. denote natural numbers,  $f, g, h, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$  will denote elements of  $\text{Ct}(1)$ ,  $F$  will denote an element of  $\text{Ct}(k-1)$ ,  $\phi, \psi$  will denote elements of  $\text{Ct}(k)$  and  $\Delta$  will denote an element of  $\text{Ct}(k+1)$ .

We let  $\sigma, \tau, \pi, \delta$  denote finite sequences which we without mentioning will identify with their sequence-numbers.  $\sigma(n-1)$  will denote the  $n$ 'th coordinate of  $\sigma$  when  $0 < n \leq \text{lh}(\sigma)$ . We use the standard notation  $\bar{f}(n) = \langle f(0), \dots, f(n-1) \rangle$  and  $\bar{\sigma}(n) = \langle \sigma(0), \dots, \sigma(n-1) \rangle$  whenever  $n \leq \text{lh}(\sigma)$ .

Kleene [5] showed that the class of countable functionals

is closed under S1 - S9 (Kleene [4]), and he showed that all computable functionals are recursive, i.e. have recursive associates.

Later Tait showed that the converse is not true. The fan-functional  $\Phi$  is recursive but not computable in any  $f$ .  $\Phi$  is a functional working on two arguments  $G \in Ct(2)$  and  $f$ . If

$$C_f = \{g ; \forall n \ g(n) \leq f(n)\}$$

we let

$$\Phi(G, f) = \mu n \ \forall g_1, g_2 \in C_f \ (\bar{g}_1(n) = \bar{g}_2(n) \Rightarrow G(g_1) = G(g_2))$$

Tait never published his result, but sufficient arguments are given in e.g. Gandy - Hyland [3], Fenstad [2] and Normann [8].

Later Gandy defined a new functional  $\Gamma$  in  $Ct(3)$  as follows

$$\Gamma(G) = G_0(\lambda n \ \Gamma(G_{n+1}))$$

where

$$G_n(f) = G(n^* f) \quad (* \text{ denotes concatenation})$$

Gandy showed that  $\Gamma$  is recursive and Hyland showed that  $\Gamma$  is not computable in  $\Phi$  and any  $f$ . The proof is based on some material in Bergstra [1] and can be found in Gandy - Hyland [3] and Normann [8].

The following problem still remains open: "Are all continuous functionals computable in an element of  $Ct(3)$ ?" In this paper we solve this problem by constructing a recursive  $\Delta \in Ct(k+1)$  for all  $k \geq 3$  such that  $\Delta$  is not computable in any  $\phi \in Ct(k)$ .

## 2. Conventions and preliminaries.

From now on we will use the following notation and conventions:

Let  $B_{\sigma}^n$  denote the set of functionals in  $Ct(n)$  with an associate extending  $\sigma$ . We will then have

$$B_{\delta}^1 = \{f ; \bar{f}(1h(\delta)) = \delta\}$$

When we use the letters  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  we will always assume that  $B_{\sigma}^{k-1} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $B_{\tau}^{k-1} \neq \emptyset$ .

Lemma 1

- a  $B_{\sigma}^{k-1} \subseteq B_{\tau}^{k-1} \Leftrightarrow \forall \delta, s(\tau(\delta)) = s+1 \Rightarrow \exists \pi B_{\delta}^{k-2} \subseteq B_{\pi}^{k-2} \wedge \sigma(\pi) = s+1$
- b If  $B_{\sigma}^{k-1} \subseteq B_{\tau_1}^{k-1} \cup \dots \cup B_{\tau_n}^{k-1}$  then  $\exists i \leq n B_{\sigma}^{k-1} \subseteq B_{\tau_i}^{k-1}$
- c If  $B_{\sigma}^{k-1} \not\subseteq B_{\tau_1}^{k-1} \cup \dots \cup B_{\tau_n}^{k-1}$  then there is an extension  $\sigma_1$  of  $\sigma$  such that  $B_{\sigma_1}^{k-1} \cap (B_{\tau_1}^{k-1} \cup \dots \cup B_{\tau_n}^{k-1}) = \emptyset$ .

Both this and the next lemma are elementary and we will not prove them here.

Lemma 2

- a Let  $I = \{\sigma ; B_{\sigma}^{k-1} \neq \emptyset\}$ . There is a primitive recursive family  $\{F_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in I}$  in  $Ct(k-1)$  such that
  - i  $F_{\sigma} \in B_{\sigma}^{k-1}$
  - ii  $F_{\sigma} = F_{\tau \wedge \sigma} \neq \tau \Rightarrow B_{\sigma}^{k-1}$  contains just  $F_{\sigma}$  which is constant.
  - iii If  $\sigma < \tau$  and  $B_{\tau}^{k-1} \not\subseteq B_{\sigma}^{k-1}$  then  $F_{\tau} \notin B_{\sigma}^{k-1}$ .
- b There is a primitive recursive dense family  $\{\xi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $Ct(k-2)$  such that the relation  $\xi_i \in B_{\delta}^{k-2}$  is primitive recursive.

For each  $F$  we let  $h_F(i) = F(\xi_i)$ . The following result

was essentially first proved in Normann [7] . Later S. Dvornickov simplified the proof. His proof is given in Normann [9] .

Lemma 3

- a Let  $H = \{h_F; F \in Ct(k-1)\}$  . Then  $H \in \Pi_{k-2}^1 \setminus \Sigma_{k-2}^1$  .
- b If  $A$  is  $\Pi_{k-1}^1$  then there is a primitive recursive  $R$  such that

$$\alpha \in A \Leftrightarrow \forall h \in H \exists n R(\bar{\alpha}(n), \bar{h}(n), n)$$

Definition

Let  $G \in Ct(n)$  ,  $n \geq 2$ . We call  $\alpha$  a semi-associate for  $G$  if  $\forall m G \in B_{\bar{\alpha}(m)}^n$  .

In proving the properties of  $\phi$  and  $\Gamma$  mentioned above we make use of the following observation:

If  $G \in Ct(2)$  then a computation  $\{e\}(G)$  depends only on  $G$  restricted to a countable set, namely

$$1-sc(G) = \{f ; f \text{ is computable in } G\} .$$

So if  $\alpha$  is a semi-associate for  $G$  securing all  $f \in 1-sc(G)$  then there is an  $n$  such that  $\{e\}(G)$  is uniquely determined by  $G \in B_{\bar{\alpha}(n)}^2$  . This was proved in [3] .

Our next lemma gives a higher type version of this observation.

Lemma 4

Let  $\phi \in Ct(k)$  ,  $\{e\}(\phi) \simeq s$  by  $S1 - S9$ . Then there is a  $\Sigma_{k-2}^1$ -set  $A \subseteq H$  such that if  $\phi(F)$  is used in a subcomputation of  $\{e\}(\phi)$  then  $h_F \in A$  .

Proof

Let  $\alpha$  be an associate for  $\phi$ . Then the following set  $C$  will be  $\sum_{k-2}^1(\alpha)$  :

$C = \{ \langle d, \vec{f}, \alpha, \vec{g}, t \rangle ; \text{ each } f_i, g_j \text{ are associates for} \\ \text{functionals } G_i, T_j \text{ of type } \leq k-2 \text{ and } \{d\}(\vec{G}, \phi, \vec{T}) \approx t \\ \text{is a subcomputation of } \{e\}(\phi) \} .$

From  $C$  it is easy to construct  $A$  as we want.

Lemma 5

Let  $\{e\}(\phi) \approx s$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a semi-associate for  $\phi$  such that whenever  $\phi(F)$  is used in a subcomputation of  $\{e\}(\phi)$  then  $\alpha$  secures all associates for  $F$ . Then there is an  $n$  such that

$$\forall \psi \in B_{\vec{\alpha}(n)}^k \quad ( \{e\}(\psi) \downarrow \Rightarrow \{e\}(\psi) \approx s )$$

Proof

The standard proof used when  $\alpha$  is an associate will work in this case too.

Remark

Lemmas 4 and 5 may easily be proved for a list  $\vec{\phi}$  of arguments instead of just for  $\phi$ .

3. The construction

The strategy now is as follows

1. We construct a recursively compact set  $K$  such that  
 $\underline{i}$  All  $\beta \in K$  are semi-associates for  ${}^k_0$ .

- ii No  $\beta \in K$  is an associate.
- iii If  $A \subseteq H$  is  $\Sigma_{k-2}^1$  then there is a  $\beta \in K$  such that if  $h_F \in A$  then  $\beta$  secures all associates for  $F$ .
2. For each  $\phi$  we construct a sequence  $\delta_m^\phi$  uniformly primitive recursive in  $\phi$  such that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \delta_m^\phi$  will be the principal associate for  $\phi$ .
3. We show that if
- $$\Delta_K(\phi) = \mu n \forall m \geq n \forall \beta \in K (\bar{\beta}(m) \neq \delta_m^\phi)$$
- then  $\Delta_K$  has a recursive associate.
4. If  $\forall \phi (\Delta_K(\phi) = \{e\}(\phi, \psi))$  then by lemma 4 and lemma 5 there will be a  $\beta \in K$  such that  $\Delta_K({}^k0)$  is determined by a finite part  $\bar{\beta}(n)$  of  $\beta$ . We will show that this is as absurd as it seems.

### Remark

1 - 4 give the main idea behind the construction. In order to carry through the technical arguments we must choose both  $K$  and  $\delta_m^\phi$  with some care and define  $\Delta_K$  in a slightly different way.

From now on let  $\Sigma(\alpha, h)$  be the following relation

$$\Sigma(\alpha, h) \Leftrightarrow \exists B \in \Sigma_{k-2}^1(\alpha) (B \subseteq H \wedge h \in B)$$

Then  $\Sigma$  is  $\Pi_{k-1}^1$  and by lemma 3.b there is a primitive recursive relation  $R$  such that

$$\Sigma(\alpha, h_1) \Leftrightarrow \forall h_2 \in H \exists n R(\bar{\alpha}(n), \bar{h}_1(n), \bar{h}_2(n), n)$$

For each  $\sigma$  let

$$\sigma_i(\delta) = \begin{cases} (\sigma(\delta)-1)_i+1 & \text{if } \sigma(\delta) > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma(\delta) = 0 \end{cases} \quad i \in \{1,2\}$$

where  $( )_1$  and  $( )_2$  are the two projection maps of the standard pairing operator  $\langle , \rangle$ .

For each  $\sigma$  we let  $h_\sigma$  be the largest sequence such that

$$h_\sigma(i) = s \quad \text{if } \exists \delta (\sigma(\delta) = s+1 \wedge \xi_i \in B_\delta^{k-2})$$

If  $B_\sigma^{k-1}$  contains more than one element then  $h_\sigma$  is a finite sequence uniformly recursive in  $\sigma$ .

Define

$$P_\alpha(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } B_\sigma^{k-1} \text{ contains just one element or if} \\ & \exists n R(\bar{\alpha}(n), \bar{h}_{\sigma_1}(n), \bar{h}_{\sigma_2}(n), n) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$P_\alpha$  is uniformly recursive in  $\alpha$  and  $P_\alpha$  is a semi-associate for  $k_0$ .

#### Lemma 6

- a If  $A \subseteq H$  is  $\Sigma_{k-2}^1$  then there is an  $\alpha \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that if  $h_F \in A$  then  $\alpha$  secures all associates for  $F$ .
- b  $P_\alpha$  is not an associate.
- c If  $P_\alpha(\sigma) = 1$  and  $B_\tau^{k-1} \subseteq B_\sigma^{k-1}$  then  $P_\alpha(\tau) = 1$ .

#### Proof

a Let  $\alpha \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be such that  $A$  is  $\Sigma_{k-2}^1(\alpha)$ . Let

$B = \{h_1 : h \in A\}$  where  $h_1(n) = (h(n))_1$ . Then  $B \subseteq H$  is

$\Sigma_{k-2}^1(\alpha)$  so  $\Sigma(\alpha, h_1)$  for all  $h \in A$ . Let  $h_2(n) = (h(n))_2$ .

Then for  $h \in A$

$$\exists n R(\bar{\alpha}(n), \bar{h}_1(n), \bar{h}_2(n), n)$$

Let  $\beta$  be an associate for  $F$ ,  $h_F \in A$ . Let  $h = h_F$ . Then

$$h_1 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} h(\bar{\beta}(m))_1 \quad \text{and} \quad h_2 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} h(\bar{\beta}(m))_2 . \quad \text{It follows that}$$

for some  $m$   $P_\alpha(\bar{\beta}(m)) = 1$ .

b Let  $\alpha$  be given. Let  $C = \cup \{B \subseteq H : B \text{ is } \Sigma_{k-2}^1(\alpha)\}$ . Then

$C \subseteq H$  and  $C$  is  $\Sigma_{k-2}^1$ . So there is an  $h_1 \in H \setminus C$  and then

$\neg \Sigma(\alpha, h)$ . Choose  $h_2 \in H$  such that

$$\forall n \neg R(\bar{\alpha}(n), \bar{h}_1(n), \bar{h}_2(n), n) . \quad \text{Let } h_1 = h_{F_1}, \quad h_2 = h_{F_2} \quad \text{and}$$

let  $\beta$  be an associate for  $F = \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle$ . It is clear that

$F_1$  cannot be constant (since otherwise  $\{h_1\} \in \Sigma_{k-2}^1$ ) so

$B_{\bar{\beta}(n)}^{k-1}$  will always contain more than one element. (If

$B_\sigma^{k-1}$  contains just one element, that element is constant).

It follows that  $P_\alpha$  will not secure  $\beta$ .

c This is trivial from the following monotonicity property:

$$B_\tau^{k-1} \subseteq B_\sigma^{k-1} \Rightarrow h_\sigma < h_\tau$$

which again follows trivially from the definition of  $h_\sigma$  and

$h_\tau$ . (Use lemma 1.a)

This ends the proof of lemma 6.

$$\text{Let } K_k = \{P_\alpha : \alpha \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$$

Then  $K_k$  is compact and contains only semi-associates for  $k_0$  none of which are associates.

We will now show that from such compact sets  $K$  we may construct interesting functionals of type  $k+1$ .



Definition

Let  $\phi \in \text{Ct}(k)$ . Let  $\delta_m^\phi$  be the sequence of length  $m$  defined as follows. For  $\sigma < m$  let

$$\delta_m^\phi(\sigma) = \begin{cases} s+1 & \text{if } \exists \tau < m (\sigma \neq \tau \wedge B_\tau^{k-1} \subseteq B_\sigma^{k-1}) \\ & \wedge \forall \tau < m (B_\tau^{k-1} \subseteq B_\sigma^{k-1} \Rightarrow \phi(F_\tau) = s) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 7

$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \delta_m^\phi$  is the principal associate for  $\phi$ .

The proof is standard.

4. The proof.

Lemma 8

Let  $K$  be a compact set of semi-associates for type  $k$  functionals such that  $K$  contains no associates. Then the functional

$$\Delta_K(\phi) = \mu n \forall m \geq n \forall \beta \in K \exists \sigma < m (\beta(\sigma) = 0 \wedge \delta_m^\phi(\sigma) > 0)$$

is well-defined and has an associate recursive in  $K$ , i.e. in

$$\{ \langle n, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_{k_n} \rangle : \{ \pi_1, \dots, \pi_{k_n} \} = \{ \bar{\beta}(n) : \beta \in K \} \}$$

Proof

Let  $\alpha$  be an associate for  $\phi$ . It is sufficient to show that  $\Delta_K(\phi)$  is uniformly recursive in  $\alpha, K$ .

For each  $\beta$ , if  $\beta$  is a semi-associate and

$$\forall \sigma (\alpha(\sigma) > 0 \Rightarrow \beta(\sigma) > 0)$$

then  $\beta$  is an associate. So

$$\forall \beta \in K \exists \sigma (\beta(\sigma) = 0 \wedge \alpha(\sigma) > 0)$$

Since  $K$  is compact we may choose these  $\sigma$ 's among a finite set

$\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\}$  . Choose  $m$  so large that all these sequences have proper extensions  $< m$ . Then

$$\forall \beta \in K \exists \sigma < m \exists \tau < m (\sigma \neq \tau \wedge B_\tau^{k-1} \subseteq B_\sigma^{k-1} \wedge \beta(\sigma) = 0 \wedge \alpha(\sigma) > 0)$$

Recursively in  $\alpha, K$  we may pick  $m_0$  to be the least such  $m$  . We then know that

$$\forall m \geq m_0 \forall \beta \in K \exists \sigma < m (\beta(\sigma) = 0 \wedge \delta_m^\phi(\sigma) > 0)$$

Then  $\Delta_K(\phi)$  is the least  $n \leq m_0$  such that

$$\forall m (n \leq m \leq m_0 \Rightarrow \forall \beta \in K \exists \sigma < m (\beta(\sigma) = 0 \wedge \delta_m^\phi(\sigma) > 0))$$

We may find this  $n$  uniformly recursive in  $K, m_0$  . This shows that  $\Delta_K$  is recursive in  $K$  and ends the proof of lemma 8.

Let  $\Delta_k = \Delta_{K_k}$  . Then  $\Delta_k \in \text{Ct}(k+1)$  has a recursive associate.

#### Lemma 9

$\Delta_k$  is not Kleene-computable in any  $\psi \in \text{Ct}(k)$  .

#### Proof

Assume that the lemma is false. Then there is a  $\psi \in \text{Ct}(k)$  and an  $e$  such that

$$\forall \phi \in \text{Ct}(k) (\Delta_k(\phi) = \{e\}(\phi, \psi)) .$$

By lemma 4 there is a  $\Sigma_{k-2}^1$ -set  $A \subseteq H$  such that whenever  ${}^kO(F)$  is used in a subcomputation of  $\{e\}({}^kO, \psi)$  then  $H_F \in A$ . By lemma 6.a there is a  $P_\alpha \in K_k$  securing all associates for  $F$  whenever  $h_F \in A$ . By lemma 5 there is an  $n$  such that whenever  $\phi \in B_{P_\alpha}^k(n)$  then

$$\Delta_k(\phi) = \{e\}(\phi, \psi) = \{e\}({}^kO, \psi) = \Delta_k({}^kO)$$

We defined  $\delta_m^\phi$  for  $\phi \in \text{Ct}(k)$  but we can use the same definition for all  $\phi$  defined on all  $F_\sigma$ . Let

$$\phi_0(F_\sigma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } B_\sigma^{k-1} \text{ contains just one element} \\ & \text{or if } \exists \tau < n (F_\sigma \in B_\tau^{k-1} \wedge P_\alpha(\tau)=1) \\ \sigma+1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

By lemma 2.ii we see that  $\phi_0$  is well-defined. Moreover, if  $\forall \phi \in B_{P_\alpha}^k(n)$  ( $\phi(F_\sigma)=s$ ), then  $\phi_0(F_\sigma)=s$ , so all finite parts of  $\phi_0$  may be extended to elements in  $B_{P_\alpha}^k(n)$ .

Claim

a  $\forall m > n \forall \sigma (n \leq \sigma < m \Rightarrow \delta_m^{\phi_0}(\sigma) \leq P_\alpha(\sigma))$

b If  $\sigma < n$  and  $P_\alpha(\sigma)=0$  then there is an  $m_0$  such that

$$m \geq m_0 \Rightarrow \delta_m^{\phi_0}(\sigma)=0$$

Proof

For each  $m, \sigma$  we have that  $\delta_m^{\phi_0}(\sigma)$  is either 0 or  $\phi_0(F_\sigma)+1$ , and  $\phi_0(F_\sigma)$  is either 0 or  $\sigma+1$ .

If  $\delta_m^{\phi_0}(\sigma)=\sigma+2$  then

$$\exists \sigma_1 < m (\sigma_1 \neq \sigma \wedge B_{\sigma_1}^{k-1} \subseteq B_\sigma^{k-1} \wedge \phi_0(F_\sigma)=\phi_0(F_{\sigma_1})=\sigma+1)$$

But  $\phi_0(F_{\sigma_1}) \neq \sigma+1$  when  $\sigma \neq \sigma_1$  so this is impossible. It follows that  $\delta_m^{\phi_0}(\sigma) \in \{0,1\}$  for all  $\sigma$ .

a Assume that  $n \leq \sigma < m$  and  $\delta_m^{\phi_0}(\sigma)=1$ . Since

$$\delta_m^{\phi_0}(\sigma) > 0 \Rightarrow \delta_m^{\phi_0}(\sigma) = \phi(F_\sigma) + 1 \text{ for all } \phi, \sigma \text{ we must have } \phi_0(F_\sigma) = 0.$$

If this is because  $B_\sigma^{k-1}$  contains just one element we have

constructed  $P_\alpha$  in such a way that  $P_\alpha(\sigma)=1$ .

If  $B_\sigma^{k-1}$  contains more than one element we must have

$$\exists \tau < n \ (F_\sigma \in B_\tau^{k-1} \wedge P_\alpha(\tau)=1)$$

Then  $\tau < \sigma$  and by lemma 2.iii we must have  $B_\sigma^{k-1} \subseteq B_\tau^{k-1}$ .

But then by lemma 6.c.  $P_\alpha(\sigma)=1$ .

So  $\delta_m^\phi(\sigma)=1 \Rightarrow P_\alpha(\sigma)=1$

b If  $P_\alpha(\sigma)=0$  then  $B_\sigma^{k-1}$  contains more than one element. If

$B_\sigma^{k-1} \subseteq \bigcup \{B_\tau^{k-1} : \tau < n \wedge P_\alpha(\tau)=1\}$  then by lemma 1.b.

$$\exists \tau < n \ (P_\alpha(\tau)=1 \wedge B_\sigma^{k-1} \subseteq B_\tau^{k-1})$$

But by lemma 6.c.  $P_\alpha(\sigma)=1$  so this is impossible. So

$B_\sigma^{k-1} \not\subseteq \bigcup \{B_\tau^{k-1} : \tau < n \wedge P_\alpha(\tau)=1\}$ . By lemma 1.c. there are

extensions  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  of  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma_1 \not\leq \sigma_2$  and

$$B_{\sigma_1}^{k-1} \cap \bigcup \{B_\tau^{k-1} : \tau < n \wedge P_\alpha(\tau)=1\} = \emptyset$$

Then  $\phi_0(F_{\sigma_1}) = \sigma_1 + 1$  and  $\phi_0(F_{\sigma_2}) = \sigma_2 + 1$ . For  $m > \sigma_2$  we see

that  $\delta_m^\phi(\sigma)=0$ . This ends the proof of the claim.

By the claim we have

$$\exists m_0 > n \ \forall m \geq m_0 \ \forall \sigma < m \ (\delta_m^\phi(\sigma) \leq P_\alpha(\sigma)).$$

Choose  $m > \max\{\Delta_K^{(k)0}, m_0\}$ . Let  $\phi \in B_{P_\alpha}^k(n)$  be such that

$$\forall \sigma < m \ \phi(F_\sigma) = \phi_0(F_\sigma)$$

As we remarked after the definition of  $\phi_0$  this is possible.

Then  $\delta_m^\phi = \delta_m^{\phi_0}$  and  $\forall \sigma < m \ \delta_m^\phi(\sigma) \leq P_\alpha(\sigma)$ . So  $\Delta_K(\phi) \geq m$ . But since

$\phi \in B_{\alpha}^k(n)$  we have  $\Delta_k(\phi) = \Delta_k({}^k0)$ . This is a contradiction and the lemma is proved.

We have now showed

### Theorem

For each  $k \geq 2$  there is a recursive functional  $\Delta$  in  $Ct(k+1)$  such that  $\Delta$  is not computable in any functional in  $Ct(k)$ .

### Proof

For  $k=2$  we may use the fan-functional while for  $k \geq 3$  we have showed that  $\Delta_k$  is an example.

### 5. References

1. J. Bergstra, Computability and continuity in finite types, Dissertation, Utrecht 1976.
2. J. E. Fenstad, General Recursion Theory, Springer Verlag (1979)
3. R. O. Gandy and J. M. E. Hyland, Computable and recursively countable functions of higher type, in R. O. Gandy and J. M. E. Hyland (eds) Logic Colloquium 76 407-438, North Holland 1977
4. S. C. Kleene, Recursive Functionals and Quantifiers of Finite Types I, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 91 (1959) 1-52; and II 108 (1963) 106-142
5. S. C. Kleene, Countable functionals, in A. Heyting (ed): Constructivity in Mathematics 87-100 North Holland 1959
6. G. Kreisel, Interpretation of Analysis by means of Constructive Functionals of Finite Types, in A. Heyting (ed): Constructivity in Mathematics 101-128 North Holland 1959

7. D. Normann, Countable functionals and the analytic hierarchy,  
Oslo Preprint no 17, 1977
8. D. Normann, Recursion on the countable functionals,  
Lecture Note , in preparation.
9. D. Normann, Countable functionals and the projective  
hierarchy, in preparation.